Digital Printing in Contemporary Art. A review for conservation decision-making

Impressão Digital em Arte Contemporânea. Uma revisão para decidir sobre a sua conservação

Abstract
Art uses the contemporary tools developed at the time, because of their material and conceptual possibilities. Comparing with other media, computers and output devices have transformed our society and culture in the last decades more than ever. These resources offered an interesting research path for artists, generating consideration and discussion concerning its use and conservation. On this regard this paper focuses on two main aspects: the materials employed to produce digital prints (technologies, inks, substrates, finishing and mounting) and conservation issues such as terminology concerns, documentation and recording, long-term stability, and reproduction matters. Clear standards are needed in order to guarantee the conservation of these artworks, representative of this century.
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Resumo
A arte utiliza as ferramentas contemporâneas desenvolvidas na época, pelas suas possibilidades materiais e conceituais. Comparando com outros meios de comunicação, os computadores e os dispositivos de saída transformaram a nossa sociedade e cultura mais do que nunca nas últimas décadas. Esses recursos ofereceram um interessante campo exploratório para os artistas, gerando considerações e discussões sobre seu uso e conservação. Nesse sentido, este artigo está focado em dois temas principais: os materiais usados para produzir impressões digitais (tecnologias, tintas, substratos, acabamentos e montagens) e as questões de conservação, como o cuidado na terminologia, documentação e gravação, estabilidade a longo prazo, e problemas de reprodução. São necessários procedimentos claros para garantir a conservação dessas obras de arte, representativas deste século.
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**Introduction**

The first computer-based graphics at the beginning of the sixties laid the foundations for new developments in contemporary art, intended to change aesthetic concepts as photography did for a long time.

Computer graphics, in its early years, were mainly performed by scientists belonging to research centres or under their direct supervision due to the low availability of computers. Therefore, few artists had the chance to use them for creative purposes [1-2]. The arrival of personal computers, the first non-impact printing systems (NIP) and the first design software in the eighties allowed not only the artist, but also the general public to employ a tool previously restricted to a few [3].

These works, considered a secondary product of the artists’ creative activity did not earn the trust of art collectors or those interested in the arts [4]. At present, computers and digital printing technologies are ubiquitous and this situation has normalized their use. A variety of artworks of digital nature – photographs, prints, sculptures, installations – is increasingly entering contemporary art museums and private collections. As this happens, new challenges appear to collectors and restorers, preceded by the creative experimentalism, the lack of attention to the employed creative processes or technologies, and the correct identification. Furthermore, constant evolution of the available technologies and materials push conservators to apply new methods to properly take care of digital prints [5].

Since the 1990s the use of digital printing technologies has been an indisputable part of the creative processes of artists. As these artworks have been entering in museums and other collections, some issues concerning their conservation started raising, such as the interest towards the creative process, material composition and ageing.

Conserving contemporary art is a complex issue; according to contemporary theories [6] not only the conservation of the object remains important, its conceptual features, including the intentionality and meaning, should be also keep intact in order to maintain the integrity of the artwork. Therefore, this review focuses on reflecting about previous research concerning digital printing technologies and materials that constitute contemporary artworks, that is the materiality, identification and characterization of the technologies and materials on the one hand, and exhibition and mounting issues on the other hand, all of which affect the conservation of digital prints. In addition, intangible values regarding artists’ intention should be taken into account, as an essential part of a thorough conservation plan.

**Digital Printing Technologies and Materials**

Four aspects are important to take into account about the materiality of digital prints: 1) printing technologies; 2) inks; 3) substrates; and 4) finishing and mounting systems.

The interaction between them is crucial to choose the most appropriate conservation measures [7] so, having deep knowledge of these four main areas is essential before making any decision.

(i) **Digital printing technologies**

At present three main digital printing technologies exist: electrophotography, inkjet and thermal (sublimation) [8].

At the beginning of the new millennium several publications show the interest that digital printing technologies generate in the graphic industry and other more technical areas [9-10]. They provide detailed information on the different technologies and their functions, and a timeline about ink and printing substrates.

The continuous research production focuses on the optimization of the technologies, making it possible to find countless references about these issues. Most of them focus on inkjet, as it is the most versatile technology in terms of fields or disciplines in which it has application. It ranges from the graphic industry itself, electronics and electricity, to areas such as medicine and biology [11-12], but in most cases the artistic point of view is not analyzed [13].

Likewise, The Inkjet Conference, a series of international conferences organized by the European Specialist Printing Manufacturers Association (ESMA) – which takes place since 2014 in Düsseldorf and since 2018 in Chicago – focus on aspects related to engineering and chemistry that have or will have impact on inkjet prints [14]. Despite the great interest of these conferences, their specificity and technical nature do not make them especially relevant for the research related to Digital Fine Art Printing.

Conversely, trade fairs appear to be very interesting resources to get up-to-date first-hand information about the development of new products, equipment and materials that printing laboratories could acquire, and therefore, that artists will use. In the international arena, it is worth mentioning DRUPA, the most important fair worldwide on printing technologies which takes place every four years in Düsseldorf [15]. The fair welcomes manufacturers of the most important brands in the sector such as EIZO, Hewlett-Packard, Xerox, Epson, Canon, Konika Minolta, Roland and Océ, being also the place to present the latest developments worldwide, that later will be presented at other fairs.

(2) **Inks for digital printing**

The three digital printing technologies, previously mentioned, use different types of ink. Their availability for artistic purposes has been directly influenced by the price of the equipment, the development of the technologies, the quality of the final image as well as its conservation; the location of the inks in the support, their interaction and their resistance towards external agents have direct effect on the latter. Until inkjet printers appeared in the market, electrophotography played an important role for lots of artists. In this case, the colouring substance is a powder...
called toner, which melts and adheres to the substrate, usually plain paper, changing the surface gloss of the printed areas (Figure 1a). When inkjet became accessible to artists, new ink formulations made it possible to print on a wider range of materials, from flexible to rigid. Currently, although water-based pigment inks are the most popular to print on paper substrates (Figure 1b), inkjet allows to use diverse ink types – solvent based, oil based, UV curable, latex inks and phase change – that influence the surface appearance. As noted in Figure 1 (d, e and f), UV curable inks enable different surface finishes, illustrating the difficulties that just one ink type could generate regarding its conservation. In recent years thermal (sublimation) technologies are becoming popular despite its price due to its similarity to photographic continuous tone image quality. In this kind of print, water-based dye inks are embedded in the ink receiving layer (IRL) of the substrates; as shown in Figure 1c, looking at samples under magnification the edges of the black squares show a fuzzy appearance because of the small particle size of the colorants. This is a helpful clue to identify the main process and differentiate it among the other technologies.

Magdassi [16] delves into the various aspects to be taken into account in the ink design: the selection of the most appropriate colorant type, surfactants, viscosity rate or ink drying method. Variation in components and proportions are selected in order to offer the widest colour gamut, best colour saturation and stability against atmospheric agents or abrasion. This is the reason why formulations develop constantly to accomplish the most stable inks. Examples of this complexity are shown in papers related to phase-change inks [17] or others who expand on the differences and similarities between dye-based and pigment-based inks [7, 18]. The first formulations for inkjet printers employed dyes due to its high colouring power, wide gamut and vibrant colours, but it was soon discovered that their stability to light was quite low, which caused rapid discoloration of the images, even complete fading [19]. This problem led chemical engineers to start using pigments as colouring agents, which meant difficulties related to grain particle size, more limited colour gamut and less colour saturation, demanding new approaches and improvements. It should be noted that ink manufacturing is an industry in constant evolution and riddled with patents, so it is very difficult to find data about specific ink brands. Therefore, in most cases, conservators only have a rough idea about the ink composition (Table 1).

(3) Substrates for digital printing

Advances made in the field of ink designing allowed the use of a growing variety of substrates specifically designed for each digital printing technologies, ranging from paper–traditional handmade or industrially manufactured–to other materials such as plastics, glass, metals, textiles and so on. The most employed substrates are specifically designed for inkjet printers because of the versatility of the technology. Among them, paper still leads the demand for digital fine art printing; many types rely on pre-existing substrates that have been modified to adjust to new technologies and thus, cover a growing demand. For that, it is necessary to have a good understanding about the interaction between inks and substrates [20] in order to generate specific coatings capable...
of receiving and containing the inks, known as IRL, on which there has been some research [21-23]. This makes it possible to offer a wide range of surfaces with specific characteristics in terms of paper grade, roughness, whiteness, brightness, opacity and pH that determine the appearance of the print and its conservation over time.

Fine Art papers, usually employed in traditional techniques such as watercolour or engraving have evolved to adapt to electronic devices, so that traditional paper mills such as St Cuthberts Mill in Somerset, United Kingdom [24], Hahnemühle in Solling, Germany [25] or Canson in Annonay, France [26] offer an interesting variety of papers for inkjet printing while preserving the manual process that characterizes them. Other types, such as baryta (also known as fibre based, FB) or Resin Coated (RC) papers, which have their origins in the nineteenth century and the late sixties of the twentieth century photography respectively, have also been modified to continue maintaining the physical appearance of traditional photographic techniques in the images produced by means of inkjet devices.

In order to guarantee the stability of the printed images over time, equipment manufacturers also have designed their own paper substrates [27-28] to offer the greatest compatibility between their devices, inks and substrates. Other companies, such as the German Tecco [29] have also conditioned part of their production to inkjet technology.

On the one hand, thanks to UV curing, latex or solvent inks, other types of substrates, which mainly come from industrial use, can be directly printed on. Among them the most popular are polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) or aluminium composite materials (ACM). Even if its use is not as widespread as traditional substrates like paper, UV technology is becoming more attractive for artists because of the displaying possibilities it offers, including hanging or attaching the artworks directly to the wall and avoid framing (Figure 2).

Moreover, other tools such as computer controlled CNC cutters are also being employed for specific works requiring cutting of more or less intricate shapes (Figures 2b and 2c).

(4) Finishing and mounting systems
At present, large print formats seem to be a tendency among artists. In order to display these, artists apply different finishes on their surfaces and select specific mounting systems which enhance their complexity and poses new challenges to conservators and restorers (Figure 3).

There are many finishing options to cover the surface of the prints: film, liquid or spray laminates. Usually they are employed for protective purposes against humidity, light, air pollutants, abrasion or fingerprints. They can also be applied with the intention to modify the surface to correct the differential gloss, eliminate glare or improve colour depth and intensity.

On the other hand, mounting substrates [30] consist of adhering permanently a rigid material to the artwork so that it helps in its exhibition, handling and storage; these techniques provide the prints a flatness that cannot be achieved in a traditional framing, but also rigidity, eliminating the need to use heavy glazing and reducing the production cost. This is the reason why many artists decide to use them.

According to these possibilities, prints in museum and private collections can be found as they are obtained from the printer or with some added materials on the front (face...
mounting), on the back, or on both sides (encapsulated) of the printed image (Figure 4) [31-33]. However, it should be kept in mind that all finishing and mounting systems employed to modify the surface are irreversible, so deterioration in them could have direct consequences in the conservation of the artworks. Moreover, its effects and interactions with the printed substrate have not been properly studied, except on specific cases [34-36].

Digital Print Conservation Issues / Contemporary Art Conservation Issues

The technologies available for digital printing and the development of new materials entail some important issues about terminology, appearance of the artworks and the need for accurate documentation and reproduction as a conservation strategy.

Terminology concerns

The presence of digital prints in museum and institutions has significantly increased in recent decades, shaping the collections of many museums around the world such as the Victoria and Albert Museum (V&A) in London, which houses a complete collection of computer-generated art ranging from the first artists to modern creations.

These prints constitute a significant part of the cultural heritage of our time and this is why they will continue to be frequently acquired by public and private institutions and collections. Museum professionals responsible for the acquisition, exhibition and preservation of digital prints, often face the problem of having to manage works whose materiality and behaviour is not yet known in depth.

In 2008, a study conducted in the United States revealed that there was no standardized or agreed definition among the professionals involved in the art world of what digital printing is, and it was clear, the difficulty that existed to differentiate between a digital print and one that is not [37].

This lack of knowledge regarding digital printing technologies and the materials that constitute them entails that many works are not properly identified and characterized, and therefore, they are stored for what they seem and not for what they really are. Judging the terminological differences found among institutions and
art fair catalogues, there is no agreement to name these type of artworks. According to this, usually employed terms could be classified into the following four categories 1) Generalist descriptions: print, digital print; 2) Proprietary or commercial names: Diasec, Digigraphie, Ultrachrome, Giclée; 3) Confusing terms: archival print, archival pigment print; and 4) Incorrect definitions: ink on paper, pigment on paper, pigment print. None of them describes clearly the materiality of the object, so more than a cataloguing problem, this issue can cause important matters in the conservation decision-making of the artworks.

Precise naming should gather specific information about the prints, exempt of commercial trademarks or indicating them as complementary data, and ranging from generic terms to precise ones, according to the needs. In this way, the first term should be a general one such as "digital print", followed by the printing technology –electrophotography, inkjet or thermal –, the type of substrate, and if needed, specifications about finishing and mounting techniques [38].

Aware of the situation some institutions have been doing research on the characterization and permanence of these printed images for some time now. Among them, the well-known Image Permanence Institute (IPI) of the Rochester Institute of Technology (RIT) develops sustainable practices for the preservation of images and cultural heritage. It presents basic information on digital prints through the Digital Print Preservation Portal (DP3), a web for didactic purposes [39], and Graphics Atlas, a resource also available on the Internet that serves as a starting point for the identification and characterization of prints and photography [8].

The concept in contemporary art: appearance, documentation and reproduction

Due to the complexity that digital printing presents both from the material and conceptual point of view, its conservation should not only pay attention to the materiality of the artworks. Theoretical and conceptual aspects that led the artists to produce them should be studied in-depth in order to be able to select the most appropriate strategies in each case, with the ultimate goal of keeping its authenticity intact.

The artist interview has become an indispensable resource for conservators of contemporary art [40]. Thus, Marchesi analyzes the complexity of decision-making regarding the conservation of contemporary photography and highlights the importance of the artist as one of the stakeholders for making such decisions [41].

Appearance

It is well known that usually one of the most common concerns for artists – especially for renowned ones – is how the appearance of their works is transformed over time, since the alteration of the printed surface can prevent the correct understanding of the artwork [42]. As indicated by Hunt and Pointer, four aspects are vital to evaluate the optical properties (appearance) of the surfaces: colour, brightness, texture and translucency [43].

In order to preserve the materiality of the artworks and limit as much as possible other conservation decisions such as reproduction, it is important to determine how environmental factors affect the employed materials [44]. Among a few, the IPI and the independent laboratory Wilhelm Imaging Research (WIR) carry out numerous permanence tests to have a better understanding of the ageing processes occurring to the digital prints. The latter, tests products and materials for companies related to the printing industry since the mid-1990s and this information is available on the Internet [45].

Addressing other questions about conservation, such as the colour consistency in printing – an important matter to think about for printing and reproduction – the Centre for Fine Print Research (CFPR) of Bristol, a research centre created in 1998 belonging to the University of West England (United Kingdom), is considered one of the leading centres in terms of art and design research; they carry out international projects about the artistic, historical and...
industrial importance of the practices related to creative printing, processes and technologies, collaborating with a variety of professionals, institutions and companies [46].

Research studies have been performed so far to obtain a good knowledge of the materials involved in digital printing but this is mainly dedicated to the permanence of inkjet prints on paper substrates. It should be noted that the main interest has been focused on the effects produced by several extrinsic deterioration agents such as light [47-49], humidity [50], air pollutants [51-52] or the effects of abrasion [53-57] in the appearance of prints. There have also been some studies on reversible mounting systems for large format photographs [58] or regarding the scratching of the acrylic surfaces used for face-mounting [59].

**Documentation**

The correct documentation of contemporary artworks play a fundamental role. First, it allows classifying, storing and visualizing the cultural assets of a museum or institution as well as offering a greater and better understanding of the aesthetic values, historical context, meaning and economic value of the works of art. This way, it provides information on aspects such as authenticity, exhibition system and changes in the appearance. Thus, documentation is an essential tool to monitor and manage the conservation of the artworks. In this way, it is possible to meet two of the objectives of any museum: selecting and recording information of the artworks – physical characteristics, meaning, history, context, state of conservation – and update and disclose it [60]. However, this hard work requires skilled staff that sometimes only big museums can afford due to their budgets, preventing small museums from this important task. Several proposals have emerged to collect data concerning digital prints; in 2009, the Photographic Materials Group of the American Institute for Conservation (AIC) in collaboration with other institutions and professionals designed the Photographic Information Record, which has been translated into several languages with the aim to become an international standard [61].

The apparent simplicity and ease of production and reproduction of the works generated with digital printing devices may have been one of the reasons why correct graphic documentation and recording did not get the deserved attention until a few years ago.

For some time now, museums and other institutions have digitized their collections to make them available to a wider public through online consultation, either to bring part of the Cultural Heritage to society or to allow access and consultation to a series of cultural goods that because of their delicate state of conservation cannot be examined directly. In this case, the representativeness of the artwork should be as close as possible to reality so that digitalisation maintains the qualities of the original printed surface such as tonal reproduction, neutrality, colorimetry, uniformity and resolution [62-63]. Currently, guides for digitalisation such as the Preservation Imaging Guidelines Image Quality [64] created within the Metamorfoze project, and the Technical Guidelines for Digitizing Cultural Heritage Materials: Creation of Raster Image Master Files created in the United States by the Federal Agencies Digitization Initiative (FADGI) - Still Image Working Group [65] are being employed.

**Reproduction**

What happens when the artwork is irreversibly deteriorated and reproduction is selected as a conservation strategy?

Obtaining an image matching the initial state of the artwork and maintain its authentic characteristics is a complex process because sometimes is not possible to find similar materials due to the rapid market development. Moreover, it is essential to follow an appropriate colour management method to ensure that the new copy reproduces the original idea of the artist as much as possible [66-67].

Digital technologies allow for capturing, storing and working the images in such a way that the process itself can provide a guarantee concerning colour accuracy. To ensure consistency and quality in the process, the perceptual evaluation carried out by experts does not turn out to be sufficiently precise when dealing with cultural heritage, for this reason, it is necessary to apply a specific workflow [68]. As previously mentioned, colour reproduction is one of the most important aspects to take into account, therefore, the process should be reproducible and allow checking and registering every step, but also should verify its quality, avoiding subjective interpretations of the images [69-70].

**Conclusion**

Research about digital printing related to art is a complex field addressing specific technologies, inks, substrates, finishes and mounting systems which interact to generate an image; different combinations produce distinct images that will influence in their conservation in a particular way. In addition, conceptual features related to the artists’ intent should be also taken into account in order to obtain an accurate conservation plan that fulfils the current conservation theories. For that, a common and a correct terminology seems to be the first obstacle to overcome, followed by an accurate documentation and recording methodologies. This will enable a precise and overall knowledge about the employed materials and physical properties of the images such as colour. These aspects are covered in a very different way when comparing big museum and institutions, which have more resources, and smaller ones, so it would be necessary to develop affordable strategies for the latter.

While research has been conducted about the ageing of digital prints on paper substrates, protective or mounting systems applied to them have been hardly studied nor their effects on their permanence. Moreover, printing on rigid
substrates (plastics, metals, wood, glass...) is becoming more popular among artists because of the advantages they offer, but their stability over time has not yet been proven in this context, neither the ideal conditions for their correct storage, the deterioration they can show and how to solve the related problems.

Works that combine digital prints with other more traditional techniques in the arts such as painting (oil, acrylic paint, watercolours, pencils...) or engraving (lithography, traditional techniques) give the art pieces a more experimental nature and also singular characteristics that concern their conservation. As they are very particular processes, they have not been properly studied.

Because there have been so many options available for artists and more being developed, more research is needed to fully understand what might be encountered in a collection.
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